Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/441,857	Duffy et al		/l 
merview dammary	Examiner Karen Canel	lla	Art Unit 1642	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  (1) Karen Canella  (2) Lawrence Casson  Date of Interview Jul 26, 2002	(3)		·	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: pending				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
				<del></del>
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached  Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:  Examiner is consulting with supervisor on the allowability of	I nature of what was	agreed to if		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).  Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's	s signature, if req	